Guide for Review of Eligibility and National Objective						
Name of Progr	am Participant:					
	-					
Staff Consulted:						
Name(s) of		Date				
Reviewer(s)						

NOTE: All questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, NOFA, or grant agreement). If the requirement is not met, HUD must make a finding of noncompliance. All other questions (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the participant's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a **"finding."**

<u>Instructions</u>: A state is required to demonstrate that each activity it has funded meets a national objective [pursuant to section 104(b) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, ("the Act") and 24 CFR 570.483 of the State CDBG regulations] and is eligible [per sections 105(a) and (c) of the Act and the State CDBG regulations at 24 CFR 570.482]. While a specific state system is not required, the HUD reviewer must determine that a state is funding activities that are eligible, meet a national objective, and (where applicable) comply with public benefit standards. Where the reviewer samples a number of project files to test a state's implementation of its process, the Eligibility and National Objective Review Worksheet included in this section should be used. For economic development activities, the reviewer should use this Exhibit in conjunction with Exhibit 4-2, which covers compliance with the public benefit and underwriting requirements.

The HUD reviewer has the discretion to cite 24 CFR 570.493(b) for Findings of Noncompliance related to the lack of documentation and 24 CFR 570.489(d) for Findings of Noncompliance related to missing or inadequate state administrative controls and procedures. (Note: The term "applicant" as used in this Exhibit refers to units of local government applying for CDBG funds from a state.)

Ouestions

A. PRE-APPROVAL – ELIGIBILITY & NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Describe the state's means to determine eligibility of activities.
Describe Basis for Conclusion:

4-1 09/2005

Describe the state's means for determining if an activity meets a national object	tive.	
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
Has the state established standards for determining whether activities are		
eligible and meet national objectives?	Yes	I
If the state has chosen to adopt the Entitlement CDBG eligibility regulations	$\overline{1}$	
for use in its program, are the standards in conformance with these	es No	N
regulations? [Section 104(e)(2) of the HCDA and 24 CFR 570.200(a)(1)]		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

Is there a method for communicating standards to applicants?			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	No	N/A
Is there a process for checking applications to verify the accuracy of informat submitted by an applicant in its funding request?	ion	∐ Yes	∐ No
Does the state question applications for CDBG funds where sufficient data are not available or should be verified?	e	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	I		

4-3 09/2005

State CDBG Program		
Does the state's method ensure that all applications requesting CDBG funds are reviewed consistently?	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
How does the state document its eligibility and national objective determinations applications?	for	
[24 CFR 570.482 and 24 CFR 570.483] Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
Describe Busis for Concression.		

10.

a. Is there a process by which higher-level manage	ment reviews eligibility and		
national objective determinations?		Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
b. If the answer to "a" above is "yes," are there spo	ecific situations that		
trigger such reviews?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Yes

No

Exhibit 4-1 State CDBG Program

s there any pattern which suggests that higher-level management regularly everses lower-level determinations of eligibility/national objectives?		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	N

Is there any evidence that activities are funded despite documented

[24 CFR 570.482 and 24 CFR 570.483]

Describe Basis for Conclusion:

determinations that the activities are ineligible and/or don't meet a national

13.

objective?

What is the state's method for maintaining a count on the total amount of funds benefiting
low-and moderate-income persons for the period selected?
[24 CFR 570.484]
Describe Basis for Conclusion:

4-5 09/2005

B. POST APPROVAL – ELIGIBILITY AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

14.	
	Describe the state's method to determine whether or not activities carried out are the same
	eligible activities and meet the same national objective as those approved.
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:
15.	
	Describe how the state is implementing this method.
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:
16.	
	Describe the state's process for reviewing and approving project amendments.
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:

17.

a. Describe the state's standards for determining when it is necessary to have a project amendment.				
Describe Basis for Conclusion:				
b. Is the state applying these standards consistently?				
	Yes			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:				
Based on the results of your review, has the state demonstrated that the projects				
it funds are eligible and meet a national objective?	Yes	r		
[Section 104(b) of the Act and 24 CFR 570.483; sections 105(a) and (c) of the				
Act and 24 CFR 570.482]				
Describe Basis for Conclusion:				

4-7 09/2005

State CDBG Program

Eligibility and National Objective Review Worksheet					
Name of Program Participant					
_					
Name(s) of Reviewer(s)	Date				

Name of Recipient, Staff	Eligibility	National	Public	Are data adequate	Were	Do you agree			
Consulted and Activity	determined	Objective	Benefit*	to support	determinations	with state			
Funded	"OK" by	determined	determined	determinations?	reviewed by state	determinations?			
	state?	"OK" by	"OK" by		management?				
		state?	state?						
Recipient/Staff consulted:									
Activity									
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No N/A	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No			
Activity									
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No N/A	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No			
Activity									
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No N/A	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No			
Recipient/Staff Consulted:									
Activity									
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No N/A	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No			
Activity									
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No N/A	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No			
Activity									
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No N/A	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No			
Recipient/Staff Consulted:									
Activity									
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No N/A	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No			
Activity									
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No N/A	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No			
Activity									
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No N/A	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No			

^{*} This Exhibit is to be used in conjunction with Exhibit 4-2 for economic development projects that are monitored.

4-9 09/2005